

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/877,140	06/08/2001	Yoshihiko Hotta	65246 CCD	1290
7	590 07/10/2003			
	DUNHAM LLP		EXAMI	NER
1185 Ave. of th New York, NY		•	HESS, BR	RUCE H
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003	U

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>
	Application No. 09/877,140 Applicant(s) Hotto et al.
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit Bruce Hess 1774
The BIAN INC DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address —
Period for Reply	D EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DAT
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DAT
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a new lf NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaults.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start.	
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL.	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> </ul>	for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
A Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement
Application Papers	·
	is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	and the first that Proceedings
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	ted to by the Examiner
<ul> <li>☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object</li> <li>☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>	ted to by the Examiner
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d)	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Triority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d)  ☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority of the content of	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority users All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d). eceived.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d). eceived. eceived in Application No
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). eceived. eceived in Application No s have been received
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). eceived. eceived in Application No s have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
<ul> <li>□ The drawing(s) filed on</li></ul>	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). eceived. eceived in Application No s have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). eceived. eceived in Application No s have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). eceived. eceived in Application No s have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
□ The drawing(s) filed on	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  seceived. seceived in Application No. s have been received Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))  (s)   Interview Summary, PTO–413    Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO–

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

5/

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 09/877,140

Art Unit: 1774

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-23, drawn to article and method of using the same, classified in class 503, subclass 206.
  - II. Claims 24-26, drawn to apparatus, classified in class 346, subclass 76.PH.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product/process of using a product and apparatus for practicing the process. The inventions are distinct because the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process (e.g., image and delete a reversible recording medium employing leuco dyes and color developers therefore).

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. In the event of the election of the Group I invention only, the following election of species is also required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Thermo reversible recording medium and process of using the same wherein the organic lower molecular weight substance is selected from:

- a. Compounds "(1)";
- b. Compounds "(2)";

Application/Control Number: 09/877,140

Art Unit: 1774

- c. Compounds "(3)";
- d. Compounds "(4)";
- e. Compounds "(5)";
- f. Compounds "(6)";
- g. Compounds "(7)";
- h. Compounds "(8)"; or
- i. Compounds "(9)";

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, all claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

5. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/877,140

Art Unit: 1774

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bruce Hess at telephone number (703) 308-2402.

B. Hess/dh June 10, 2003

> BRUCE H. HESS PRIMARY EXAMINER